

CAS as per 7th pay Commission vide:

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA

Higher & Technical Education Department

Government Resolution No. Misc-2018/C.R.56/18/UNI-1

Mantralaya Annex, Mumbai – 400 032

Date: 08 March, 2019

Revision of Pay Scales of Teachers in Non-Agricultural Universities, National Law University, Affiliated Colleges, Government Colleges/Institutes as per 7th Central Pay Commission and UGC Regulations on minimum qualifications for appointment of teachers and other academic staff in universities and colleges **and measure for the maintenance of standards in Higher Education, 2018.**

- Read:-**
1. Government Resolution, Higher and Technical Education Department No. NGC-2009/(243/09)-Uni.1, dated 12th August, 2009.
 2. Government of India MHRD letter No. 1-7/2015-U.II (1), dated 2nd November, 2017.
 3. Government of India MHRD letter No. Corrigendum F.No.1-7/2015-U.II (1), dated 8th November, 2017.
 4. Government of India MHRD letter No. 1-4/2017-U.II, dated 28th January, 2019.
 5. UGC letter No. F.No.23-4/2017 (PS), dated 31st January, 2018.
 6. The Gazette of India: Extraordinary, Part III-Section 4, dated 18th July, 2018.
 7. The Maharashtra Public University Act, 2016

Preamble:-

University Grants Commission vide its letter dated 31st January, 2018

The Government of India MHRD, Department of Higher Education, New Delhi vide its letter dated 2nd November 2017 regarding revision of 7th Pay of teachers and equivalent orders in universities and colleges following the revision of pay scales of Central Government employees on the recommendations of the 7th Central Pay Commission and to say that the State Government may take action to adopt the Government of India's scheme for State Universities and colleges.

The revision of Pay Scales of teachers and equivalent academic staff shall be subject to provisions of the scheme of revision of Pay scales as contained in letter dated 2nd November, 2017 and regulation issued by UGC dated 18th July, 2018 and amendments thereof from time to time in this behalf.

1.0. Coverage

The revised pay scales and other measures to the improvement of standards in Higher Education are applicable to all categories of full-time teachers/librarians/Director of Physical Education employed by the non-Agricultural Universities, National Law Universities, Deemed to be Universities, non-Government aided/unaided Colleges, in the faculties of Arts, Science, Commerce, Humanities, Law, Education, Social Sciences, Languages, Library Science, Physical Education, Journalism & Mass Communications, Music, Performing Arts, Visual Arts, Other traditional Indian Art forms like Sculpture, etc., Drama and Yoga, etc., in the State, Government Institutes and Colleges.

2.0. Date of Implementation

The date of implementation of **the revised pay** shall be 1st January, 2016, **and the date of implementation of terms and conditions shall be the date of issue of these Rules. i, e The rules of CAS as per 7th pay commission is implemented with effect from 8th March 2019 .**

3.0. Designation

There shall be only three designations in respect of teachers in colleges, namely, Assistant Professors, Associate Professors and Professors.

Also, there shall be no change in the present designations in respect of Library and Physical Education Personnel.

There shall be only four designations in respect of teachers in Universities, namely, Assistant Professors, Associate Professors, Professors and Senior Professors.

Also, there shall be no change in the present designations in respect of Library and Physical Education Personnel up to Deput Librarian/ Assistant Librarian (Selection Grade) and Deputy Director of Physical Education & Sports/ Assistant Director of Physical Education & Sports (Selection Grade) respectively.

However, University Librarian is re-designated as Director, Knowledge Resource Center and University
Director of Physical Education & Sports is re-designated as Director of Sports & Physical

VIII. The “Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee” for CAS promotions of Assistant Professors/equivalent cadres in Librarians/Physical Education and Sports from one level to the other higher level shall consist of:

A. For University teachers:

- i. The Vice-Chancellor or his/her nominee shall be the Chairperson of the Committee;
- ii. The Dean of the Faculty concerned;
- iii. The Head of the Department /Chairperson of the School;
- iv. One subject expert in the subject concerned nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the University panel of experts; and
- v. Director Higher Education or his/her nominee not below the rank of Joint Director.

B. For College teachers:

- i. The Principal of the college shall be the Chairperson of the committee;
- ii. Head /Teacher-In charge of the department concerned from the college;
- iii. Two subject experts in the subject concerned nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the university panel of experts; and
- iv. Joint Director Higher Education or his /her nominee not below the rank of Associate Professor.

C. For University Assistant Librarian:

- i. The Vice-Chancellor shall be the Chairperson of the Committee;
- ii. The Dean of the Faculty concerned;
- iii. The Librarian, University Library;
- iv. One expert who is a working Librarians nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the University panel of experts; and
- v. Director Higher Education or his/her nominee not below the rank of Joint Director.

D. For College Librarian:

- i. The Principal shall be the Chairperson of the Committee;
- ii. The Librarian, University Library;
- iii. Two expert who are working Librarians nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the University panel of experts; and
- iv. Joint Director Higher Education or his/her nominee not below the rank of Associate Professor.

E. For University Assistant Director, Physical Education and Sports:

- i. The Vice-Chancellor shall be the Chairperson of the Committee;
- ii. The Dean of the Faculty concerned;
- iii. The University Director, Physical Education and Sports;
- iv. One expert in Physical Education and Sports Administration from University System nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the University panel of experts; and
- v. Director Higher Education or his/her nominee not below the rank of Joint Director.

F. For College Director, Physical Education and Sports:

- i. The Principal shall be the Chairperson of the Committee;
- ii. The University Director, Physical Education and Sports;
- iii. Two experts in Physical Education and Sports Administration from University System nominated by the Vice-Chancellor from the University panel of experts; and
- iv. Joint Director Higher Education or his/her nominee not below the rank of Associate Professor.

Note: The quorum for these committees in all categories shall be three which will include the Government nominee, one subject expert/university nominee.

6.2. The Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee on verification/evaluation of grades secured by the candidate through the Assessment Criteria and Methodology Proforma designed by the respective university based on these Rules and as per the minimum requirement specified:

- a) In Appendix II, Table 1 for each of the cadre of Assistant Professor;

- b) In Appendix II, Table 4 for each of the cadre of librarian;
 c) In Appendix II, Table 5 for each of the cadre of Physical Education and sports

**Accompaniment to Government Resolution,
 Higher and Technical Education Department No. Resolution No. Misc- 2018/ C.R.56 / 18 /
 UNI-1, dated 08 March, 2019.**

Appendix II

Table 1

Assessment Criteria and Methodology for University/College Teachers

S. No.	Activity	Grading Criteria
1.	Teaching: (Number of classes taught total classes assigned)x100% (Classes taught includes sessions on tutorials, lab and other teaching related activities)	80% & above - Good Below 80% but 70% & above- Satisfactory Less than 70% - Not satisfactory
2	Involvement in the University/College students related activities/research activities: (a) Administrative responsibilities such as Head, Chairperson/ Dean/ Director/ Co-ordinator, Warden, etc. (b) Examination and evaluation duties assigned by the college / university or attending the examination paper evaluation. (c) Student related co-curricular, extension and field based activities such as student clubs, career counselling, study visits, student seminars and other events, cultural, sports, NCC, NSS and community services. (d) Organising seminars/ conferences/ workshops, other college/university activities. (e) Evidence of actively involved in guiding Ph.D. students. (f) Conducting minor or major research project sponsored by national or international agencies. (g) At least one single or joint publication in peer- reviewed or UGC list of Journals.	Good - Involved in at least 3 activities Satisfactory - 1-2 activities Not-satisfactory - Not involved/ undertaken any of the activities. Note: Number of activities can be within or across the broad categories of activities

Overall Grading:

Good: Good in teaching and satisfactory or good in activity at S.No.2

Or

Satisfactory: Satisfactory in teaching and good or satisfactory in activity at S.No.2.

Not Satisfactory: If neither good nor satisfactory in overall grading.

Note: For the purpose of assessing the grading of Activity at Serial No. 1 and Serial No. 2, all such periods of duration which have been spent by the teacher on different kinds of paid leaves such as Maternity Leave, Child Care Leave, Study Leave, Medical Leave, Extraordinary Leave and Deputation shall be excluded from the grading assessment. The teacher shall be assessed for the remaining period of duration and the same shall be extrapolated for the entire period of assessment to arrive at the grading of the teacher. The teacher on such leaves or deputation as mentioned above shall not be put to any disadvantage for promotion under CAS due to his/her absence from his/her teaching responsibilities subject to the condition that such leave/deputation was undertaken with the prior approval of the competent authority following all procedures laid down in these regulations and as per the acts, statutes and ordinances of the parent institution.

Table 4

Assessment Criteria and Methodology for Librarians

Sr. No.	Activity	Grading Criteria
1.	Regularity of attending library (calculated in terms of percentage of days attended to the total number of days he/she is expected to attend) While attending in the library, the individual is expected to undertake, inter alia, following items of work: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Library Resource and Organization and maintenance of books, journals and reports. • Provision of Library reader services such as literature retrieval services to researchers and analysis of report. • Assistance towards updating institutional website 	90% and above – Good Below 90% but 80% and above – Satisfactory Less than 80% - Not satisfactory
2.	Conduct of seminars/workshops related to library activity or on specific books or genre of books.	Good – 1 National level seminar/workshop + 1 State/institution level workshop/Seminar Satisfactory - 1 National level seminar/workshop or 1 State level seminar/ workshop + 1 institution level seminar/ workshop or 4 institution seminar / workshop Unsatisfactory – Not falling in above two categories

3.	<p>If library has a computerized database then OR</p> <p>If library does not have a computerized database</p>	<p>Good – 100% of physical books and journals in computerized database.</p> <p>Satisfactory – At least 99% of physical books and journals in computerized database.</p> <p>Unsatisfactory – Not falling under good or satisfactory.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Good – 100% Catalogue database made up to date</p> <p>Satisfactory- 90% catalogue database made up to date</p> <p>Unsatisfactory - Catalogue database not up to mark.</p> <p>(To be verified in random by the CAS Promotion Committee)</p>
4.	Checking inventory and extent of missing books	<p>Good : Checked inventory and missing book less than 0.5%</p> <p>Satisfactory - Checked inventory and missing book less than 1%</p> <p>Unsatisfactory - Did not check inventory Or Checked inventory and missing books 1% or more.</p>
5.	<p>i) Digitisation of books database in institution having no computerized database.</p> <p>(ii) Promotion of library network.</p> <p>iii) Systems in place for dissemination of information relating to books and other resources.</p> <p>iv) Assistance in college administration and governance related work including work done during admissions, examinations and extracurricular activities.</p> <p>v) Design and offer short-term courses for users.</p> <p>vi) Publications of at least one research paper in UGC approved journals.</p>	<p>Good : Involved in any two activities</p> <p>Satisfactory : At least one activity</p> <p>Not Satisfactory: Not involved/ undertaken any of the activities.</p>

Overall Grading:

Good: Good in Item 1 and satisfactory/good in any two other items including Item 4.

Satisfactory: Satisfactory in Item 1 and satisfactory /good in any other two items including Item 4.

Not satisfactory: If neither good nor satisfactory in overall grading.

Note:

- 1) It is recommended to use ICT technology to monitor the attendance of library staff and compute the criteria of assessment.
- 2) The Librarian must submit evidence of published paper, participation certificate for refresher or methodology course, successful research guidance from Head of Department of the concerned department, project completion
- 3) The system of tracking user grievances and the extent of grievances redressal details may also be made available to the CAS promotion committee.

Table 5

Assessment Criteria and Methodology for Directors of Physical Education and Sports

Sr. No.	Activity	Grading Criteria
1.	Attendance calculated in terms of percentage of days attended to the total number of days he is expected to attend.	90 and above - Good Above 80 but below 90- Satisfactory. Less than 80 - Not satisfactory.
2.	Organizing intra college competition	Good - Intra college competition in more than 5 disciplines. Satisfactory - Intra college competition in 3-5 disciplines. Unsatisfactory neither good nor satisfactory
3.	Institution participating in external competitions	Good - National level competition in at least one discipline plus State/District level competition in at least 3 disciplines. Satisfactory- State level competition in at least one discipline plus district level competition in at least 3 disciplines. Or District level competition in at least 5 Disciplines Unsatisfactory – neither good nor satisfactory

<p>4.</p> <p>5.</p> <p>Overall Grading</p>	<p>Up-gradation of sports and physical training infrastructure with scientific and Technological inputs.</p> <p>Development and maintenance of playfields and sports and physical Education facilities.</p> <p>i) At least one student of the institution participating in national/ state/ university (for college levels only) teams. Organizing state/national/inter university/inter college level competition.</p> <p>ii) Being invited for coaching at state national level.</p> <p>iii) Organizing at least three workshops in a year.</p> <p>iv) Publications of at least one research paper in UGC approved journal. Assistance in college administration and governance related work including work done during admissions, examinations and extracurricular college activities.</p>	<p>Good Satisfactory Not-Satisfactory to be assessed by the Promotion committee.</p> <p>Good Involved in any two activities.</p> <p>Satisfactory: 1 activity</p> <p>Not Satisfactory: Not involved/undertaken any of the activities.</p> <p>Good: Good in Item 1 and satisfactory/good in any two other items.</p> <p>Satisfactory: Satisfactory in Item 1 and satisfactory/good in any other two items.</p> <p>Not Satisfactory: If neither good nor satisfactory in overall grading.</p>
<p>Note:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) It is recommended to use ICT technology to monitor the attendance of sports and physical education and compute the criteria of assessment. 2) The institution must obtain student feedback. The feed-backs must be shared with the concerned Director of Physical and Education and Sports and also the CAS Promotion committee. 3) The system of tracking user grievances and the extent of grievance redressal details may also be made available to the CAS Promotion Committee. 		

Physical Education and Sports shall recommend to the Syndicate/ Executive Council /Board of Management of the University/College about the suitability for the promotion of the candidate(s) under CAS for implementation.

6.3. The selection process shall be completed on the day/last day of the selection committee meeting, wherein the minutes are recorded and recommendation made on the basis of the performance of the interview are duly signed by all members of the selection committee.

6.4. For all Selection Committees specified in these Rules, Head of Department / Teacher-Incharge should be either in the same or higher rank/ position than the rank/position for which the interview is to be held.

7.0. Selection Procedure:

I. The overall selection procedure shall incorporate transparent, objective and credible methodology of analysis of the merits and credentials of the applicants based on the weightage given to the performance of the candidate in different relevant parameters and his/her performance on a grading system proforma, based on Appendix II, Tables 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, and 5. In order to make the system more credible, universities may assess the ability for teaching and / or research aptitude through a seminar or lecture in a classroom situation or discussion on the capacity to use the latest technology in teaching and research at the interview stage. These procedures can be followed for both the direct recruitment and the CAS promotions, wherever selection committees are prescribed.

II. The universities shall adopt these Rules for selection committees and selection procedure through their respective statutory bodies incorporating Appendix II, Table 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, and 5 at the institutional level for University Departments and their Constituent colleges /Conducted colleges/ affiliated colleges (Government/Government- aided/Autonomous/ Private Colleges) to be followed transparently in all the selection processes. The universities may devise their own self-assessment-

cum-performance appraisal forms for teachers in strict adherence to the Appendix II, Table 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, and 5.

III. In all the Selection Committees of direct recruitment of teachers and other academic staff in universities and colleges provided herein, an academician belonging to the Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe/OBC/Minority/Women/Differently-abled categories, if any of candidates belonging to these categories is the applicant and if any of the members of the selection committee does not belong to that category, shall be nominated by the Vice-Chancellor of the University, and in case of a College, Vice-Chancellor of the University to which the college is affiliated to. The academician, so nominated for this purpose, shall be one level above the cadre level of the applicant, and such nominee shall ensure that the norms of the State Government, in relation to the categories mentioned above, are strictly followed during the selection process.

IV. The process of selection of a Professor shall involve the inviting of the application developed by the respective university, based on the Assessment Criteria and Methodology guidelines set out in Appendix II, Table 1 and 2 and reprints of all significant publications of the candidates. *Provided* that the publications submitted by the candidate shall have been published during the qualifying period.

Provided further that such publications shall be made available to the subject experts for assessment before holding the interview. The evaluation of the publications by the experts shall be taken into consideration while finalizing the outcome of selection.

V. In the case of selection of faculty members who are from outside the academic field and are considered under Clause 5.1 (III.B), 5.2 (I.B, II.B, III.B), 5.3 (I.B, II.B, III.B) and 5.4 (III.B) of these Rules, the university's statutory bodies must lay down clear and transparent criteria and procedure so that only outstanding professionals who can contribute substantially to the university knowledge system are selected.

VI. In the selection process for the posts involving different nature of responsibilities in certain disciplines/areas, such as Music and Fine Arts, Visual Arts and Performing Arts, Physical Education and Sports, and Library, greater emphasis may be laid on the nature of deliverables indicated against each of the posts in these Rules which need to be taken up by the institution while developing the Proforma for both the direct recruitment and the CAS promotion.

VII. The Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) shall be established in all Universities/Colleges as per the UGC/National Assessment Accreditation Council (NAAC) guidelines with the Vice-Chancellor, as Chairperson (in the case of Universities), and Principal, as Chairperson (in case of Colleges). The IQAC shall act as the documentation and record-keeping Cell for the institution, including assistance in the development of Assessment Criteria and Methodology Proforma based on these Rules. The IQAC may also introduce, wherever feasible, the student feedback system as per the NAAC guidelines on institutional parameters without incorporating the component of the students' assessment of individual teachers in the Assessment Criteria and Methodology Proforma.

A. The Assessment of the performance of College and University teachers for the CAS promotion is based on the following criteria:

i. **Teaching-Learning and Evaluation:** The commitment to teaching based on observable indicators such as being regular to class, punctuality to class, remedial teaching and clarifying doubts within and outside the class hours, counseling and mentoring, additional teaching to support the college/ university as and when the need arises, etc. Examination and evaluation activities like performing of examination supervision duties, question-papers setting for university/college examinations, participation in the evaluation of examination answer scripts, conducting examinations for internal assessment as per the schedule to be announced by the institution at the beginning of each Academic Session and returning and discussing the answers in the class.

ii. **Personal Development Related to Teaching and Research Activities:**

Attending orientation/refresher/methodology courses, development of e contents and MOOC's, organizing seminar/conference/ workshop / presentation of papers and chairing of sessions/guiding and carrying out research projects and publishing the research output in national and international journals etc.

iii. **Administrative Support and Participation in Students' Co- curricular and Extra-curricular Activities.**

B. Assessment Process

The following **three-step** process is recommended for carrying out assessment for promotion under the CAS at all levels:

Step 1: The college/university teachers shall submit to college/university an annual self-appraisal report in the prescribed Proforma to be designed based on Tables 1 to 5 of Appendix II. The report should be submitted at the end of every academic year, within the stipulated time. The teacher will provide documentary evidence for the claims made in the annual self-appraisal report, which is to be verified by the HOD/Teacher- in-charge etc. The submission should be through the Head of the Department (HOD)/teacher-in-charge.

Step: 2: After completion of the required years of experience for promotion under CAS and fulfillment of other requirements indicated below, the teacher shall submit an application for promotion under CAS.

Step 3: A CAS Promotion shall be granted as mentioned in Clauses 7.4 of these Rules.

7.1. Assessment Criteria and Methodology:

a) Tables 1 to 3 of Appendix II are applicable to the selection of **Assistant Professors/ Associate Professors/Professors/Senior Professor in Universities and Colleges;**

Table 2

Methodology for University and College Teachers for calculating Academic/Research Score

(Assessment must be based on evidence produced by the teacher such as: copy of publications, project sanction letter, utilization and completion certificates issued by the University and acknowledgements for patent filing and approval letters, students' Ph.D. award letter, etc..)

S. N.	Academic/Research Activity	Faculty of Sciences /Engineering /Agriculture / Medical /Veterinary Sciences	Faculty of Languages / Humanities / Arts / Social Sciences / Library /Education /Physical Education / Commerce / Management & other related disciplines
1.	Research Papers in Peer-Reviewed or UGC listed Journals	08 per paper	10 per paper
2.	Publications (other than Research papers)		
	(a) Books authored which are published by:		
	International publishers	12	12
	National Publishers	10	10
	Chapter in Edited Book	05	05
	Editor of Book by International Publisher	10	10
	Editor of Book by National Publisher	08	08
	(b) Translation works in Indian and Foreign Languages by qualified faculties		
	Chapter or Research paper	03	03
	Book	08	08
3.	Creation of ICT mediated Teaching Learning pedagogy and content and development of new and innovative courses and curricula		
	(a) Development of Innovative pedagogy	05	05
	(b) Design of new curricula and courses	02 per curricula/course	02 per curricula/course
	(c) MOOCs		
	Development of complete MOOCs in 4 quadrants (4 credit course) (In case of MOOCs of lesser credits 05 marks/credit)	20	20
	MOOCs (developed in 4 quadrant) per module/lecture	05	05

	Content writer/subject matter expert for each module of MOOCs (at least one quadrant)	02	02
	Course Coordinator for MOOCs (4 credit course)(In case of MOOCs of lesser credits 02 marks/credit)	08	08
	(d) E-Content		
	Development of e-Content in 4 quadrants for a complete course/e-book	12	12
	e-Content (developed in 4 quadrants) per module	05	05
	Contribution to development of e-content module in complete course/paper/e-book (at least one quadrant)	02	02
	Editor of e-content for complete course/ paper /e-book	10	10
4.	(a) Research guidance		
	Ph.D.	10 per degree awarded 05 per thesis submitted	10 per degree awarded 05 per thesis submitted
	M.Phil. P.G dissertation	02 per degree awarded	02 per degree awarded
	(b) Research Projects Completed		
	More than 10 lakhs	10	10
	Less than 10 lakhs	05	05
	(c) Research Projects Ongoing :		
	More than 10 lakhs	05	05
	Less than 10 lakhs	02	02
	(d) Consultancy	03	03
5.	(a) Patents		
	International	10	10
	National	07	07
	(b) *Policy Document (Submitted to an International body/organisation like UNO/UNESCO/World Bank/International Monetary Fund etc. or Central Government or State Government)		
	International	10	10
	National	07	07
	State	04	04
	(c) Awards/Fellowship		
	International	07	07
	National	05	05

Table: 3 A

Criteria for Short-listing of Candidates for Interview for the Post of Assistant Professors in Universities

S.N.	Academic Record	Score			
		80% & Above = 15	60% to less than 80% = 13	55% to less than 60% = 10	45% to less than 55% = 05
1.	Graduation	80% & Above = 15	60% to less than 80% = 13	55% to less than 60% = 10	45% to less than 55% = 05
2.	Post Graduation	80% & Above = 25	60% to less than 80% = 23	55% (50% in case of SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer)/PWD) to less than 60% = 20	
3.	M.Phil.	60% and above = 07	55% to less than 60% = 05		
4.	Ph.D.	30			
5.	NET with JRF	07			
	NET	05			
	SET	03			
6.	Research Publications (2 marks for each research publication published in Peer-Reviewed or UGC-listed Journals)	10			
7.	Teaching/Post Doctoral Experience (2 marks for one year each)#	10			
8.	Awards				
	International/ National Level (Award given by International Organizations/ Government of India/ Government of India recognized National Level Bodies)	03			
	State Level (Awards given by State Government)	02			

#However, if the period of teaching/Post-doctoral experience is less than one year then the marks shall be reduced proportionately.

Note:

- A) (i) M.Phil + Ph.D : Maximum - 30 Marks
(ii) JRF/NET/SET : Maximum - 07 Marks
(iii) In awards category: Maximum - 03 Marks

B) Number of candidates to be called for interview shall be decided by the concerned universities.

C) Academic Score	-	80
Research Publications	-	10
Teaching Experience	-	10
TOTAL	-	100

Table: 3 B

Criteria for Short-listing of candidates for Interview for the Post of Assistant Professors in Colleges

S.N.	Academic Record	Score			
		1.	Graduation	80% & Above = 21	60% to less than 80% = 19
2.	Post Graduation	80% & Above = 25	60% to less than 80% = 23	55% (50% in case of SC/ST/OBC (non-creamy layer) PWD) to less than 60% = 20	
3.	M.Phil.	60% and above = 07	55% to less than 60% = 05		
4.	Ph.D.	25			
5.	NET with JRF	10			
	NET	08			
	SET	05			
6.	Research Publications (2 marks for each research publication published in Peer- Reviewed or UGC- listed Journals)	06			
7.	Teaching/Post Doctoral Experience (2 marks for one year each)#	10			
8.	Awards				
	International/ National Level (Award given by International Organizations/ Government of India/ Government of India recognized National Level Bodies)	03			
	State Level (Awards given by State Government)	02			

#However, if the period of teaching/Post-doctoral experience is less than one year then the marks shall be reduced proportionately.

Note:

A) (i) M.Phil + Ph.D	:	Maximum - 25 Marks
(ii) JRF/NET/SFT	:	Maximum - 10 Marks
(iii) In awards category:	:	Maximum - 03 Marks
B) Number of candidates to be called for interview shall be decided by the college.		
C) Academic Score	-	84
Research Publications	-	06
Teaching Experience	-	10
<hr/>		
TOTAL	-	100
<hr/>		

b) Table 4 of Appendix II is applicable to Assistant Librarians/ College Librarians and Deputy Librarians for promotion under Career Advancement Scheme; and

c) Table 5 of Appendix II is applicable to Assistant Directors/ College Director of Physical Education sports and Deputy Directors/Directors of Physical Education and Sports for promotions under Career Advancement Scheme.

7.2. The constitution of the Selection Committees and Selection Procedure as well as the Assessment Criteria and Methodology for the above cadres, either through direct recruitment or through Career Advancement Scheme, shall be in accordance with these Rules. The constitution of the Selection Committee for Associate Professors and Professors in Colleges under Career Advancement Scheme shall be as specified in University Grants Commission Regulations dated 18.7.2018.

7.3. The criteria for promotions under Career Advancement Scheme laid down under these Rules shall be effective from the date of notification of these Rules. However, to avoid hardship to those faculty members who have already qualified or are likely to qualify shortly under the existing Rules, a choice may be given to them, for being considered for promotions under the existing Rules. This option can be exercised only within three years from the date of notification of these Rules.

I. A teacher who wishes to be considered for promotion under the CAS may submit in writing to the university/college, within three months in advance of the due date, that he/she fulfils all the requirements under the CAS and submit to the university/college the Assessment Criteria and Methodology Proforma as evolved by the university concerned supported by all credentials as per the Assessment Criteria and Methodology guidelines set out in these Regulations. In order to avoid any delay in holding the Selection Committee meetings for various positions under the CAS, the University/College may initiate the process of screening/selection, and complete the process within six months from the receipt of application. Further, in order to avoid any hardship, the candidates who fulfill all other criteria mentioned in these Rules, as on and till the date on which these Rules are notified, can be considered for promotion from the date, on or after the date, on which they fulfill these eligibility conditions.

II. The Selection Committee specifications as contained in Clauses 6.1 to 6.4 shall be applicable to all direct recruitments of faculty positions and equivalent cadres and Career Advancement promotions from Assistant Professor to Associate Professor, from Associate Professor to Professor, Professor to Senior Professor (in University) and for equivalent cadres.

III. The CAS promotion from a lower stage to a higher stage of Assistant Professor shall be conducted through a "Screening-cum-Evaluation Committee", following the criteria laid down in Table I of Appendix II.

IV. The promotion under the CAS being a personal promotion to a teacher holding a substantive sanctioned post, on his/her superannuation, the said post shall revert back to its original cadre.

V. For the promotion under the CAS, the applicant teacher must be on the role and in active service of the University/College on the date of consideration by the Selection Committee.

VI. The candidate shall offer himself/herself for assessment for promotion, if he/she fulfils the minimum grading specified in the relevant Assessment Criteria and Methodology Tables, by submitting an application and the required Assessment Criteria and Methodology Proforma. He/she can do so three months before the due date. The university shall send a general circular twice a year, inviting